

ON REMOTALITY FOR CONVEX SETS IN BANACH SPACES

MIGUEL MARTÍN AND T. S. S. R. K. RAO

ABSTRACT. We show that every infinite dimensional Banach space has a closed and bounded convex set that is not remotal. This settles a problem raised by Sababheh and Khalil in [8].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real Banach space and let $E \subset X$ be a bounded set. We write $\text{ext}(E)$ for the set of extreme points of E and $\overline{\text{co}}(E)$ for the closed (in the norm topology) convex hull of E . If τ is a locally convex topology in X , we will write $\overline{\text{co}}^\tau(E)$ to denote the τ -closed convex hull of E . We denote by B_X the closed unit ball of X .

The set E is said to be *remotal* from a point $x \in X$, if there exists a point $e_0 \in E$ such that $D(x, E) = \sup\{\|x - e\| : e \in E\} = \|x - e_0\|$. The point e_0 is called a *farthest point* of E from x . E is said to be *remotal* (*densely remotal*) if it is remotal from all (on a dense set) $x \in X$. Let $F(x, E) = \{e \in E : D(x, E) = \|x - e\|\}$. In general this set can be empty. A well known result of Lau ([5]) says that any weakly compact set is densely remotal. It seems to be open, the question of whether every infinite dimensional Banach space has a closed and bounded *convex* set that is not remotal. This question was actually raised in [8] and some partial positive answers were given in [8] and [7] in the case of reflexive Banach spaces and Banach spaces that fail the Schur property. The aim of this note is to give a positive answer to this question. We follow the notation and terminology of [8] and [7].

Let us outline the content of this paper. Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space and let X^* be its topological dual. Using a classical integral representation theorem, we first show that X^* has a weak*-compact convex set K that is not remotal. This should be compared with [2, Proposition 1] where the authors exhibited a weak*-compact convex set $C \subset \ell^1$ that has no farthest points. To prove the general result, we use a stronger form of integral representation theorem for closed convex bounded sets with the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP for short) due to Edgar ([4], see [6, Theorem 16.12]). Let $E \subset X$ be a weakly closed and bounded set. An interesting problem that is open is to determine conditions on $\overline{\text{co}}(E)$ so that $\overline{\text{co}}(E)$ is remotal from x implies that E is remotal from x . We will give an example showing that E being norm closed in a reflexive space is not enough for the validity of Theorem A in [8].

Date: June 18th, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20, Secondary 41A50.

Key words and phrases. Remotal convex sets, integral representation, Radon-Nikodým property.

First author partially supported by Spanish MEC project MTM2006-04837 and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM-185 and FQM-1438.

2. MAIN RESULT

We first prove a weak*-version of [8, Theorem A]. In order to produce a weak*-compact convex non-remotal set, it is enough to show that if E is a weak*-compact set having no vector of maximum length, then the same is true of $\overline{\text{co}}^{\text{weak}^*}(E)$ (weak*-closed convex hull). For a compact convex set $K \subset X^*$ and for a probability measure μ on K , let $\gamma(\mu) \in K$ denote its resultant (or weak integral) with the property

$$[\gamma(\mu)](x) = \int_K k(x) d\mu(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

We refer to [3, 6] for the results on integral representations we use here.

Theorem 1. *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Let $E \subset X^*$ be a weak*-closed and bounded set having no vector of maximum length. Then the weak*-closed convex hull K of E has no vector of maximum length. Equivalently, if E is not remotal from a point $x \in X$, then neither is K .*

Proof. Let $M = D(0, E) = \sup\{\|e\| : e \in E\} = \sup\{\|k\| : k \in K\}$. Suppose that there exists $x_0^* \in K$ such that $\|x_0^*\| = M$. Let μ be a probability measure on K with $\mu(E) = 1$ and such that $\gamma(\mu) = x_0^*$ (see [6, Proposition 1.1]). We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $x \in X$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ and $x_0^*(x) > M - \varepsilon$. Now,

$$M - \varepsilon < x_0^*(x) = \int_K x^*(x) d\mu(x^*) = \int_E x^*(x) d\mu(x^*) \leq \int_E \|x^*\| d\mu \leq M.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we get that $\int_E \|x^*\| d\mu(x^*) = M$ and so, $M = \|k\|$ μ -a.e. Hence $M = \|e\|$ for some $e \in E$. A contradiction. The last part of the statement is equivalent to the first one just by translation. \square

Corollary 2. *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then there exists a weak*-compact convex set $K \subset X^*$ that is not remotal.*

Proof. Since X is infinite dimensional, by the well-known Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem (see [3, p. 219]), there exists a sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1}$ of unit vectors such that $x_n^* \rightarrow 0$ in the weak*-topology. Consider the set

$$E = \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{0\},$$

which is clearly a weak*-compact set having no vector of maximum length. Thus, by the above theorem, the weak*-closed convex hull K of E does not have vectors of maximum length, so K is not remotal from 0. \square

Remark 3. The arguments in Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 also work in the case of a weakly compact set E and its closed convex hull $K = \overline{\text{co}}(E)$ (actually, the argument simplifies in this case and ε is not necessary). Thus, in a Banach space X that fail the Schur property, by taking a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of unit vectors which converges to 0 in the weak topology, we get that the set

$$K = \overline{\text{co}} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{0\} \right)$$

is nonremotal from 0 (alternatively, the set does not have any vector of maximal length). This gives an alternative proof of the main result from [7].

Remark 4. From the above arguments it is easy to see that for a weak*-compact set $E \subset X^*$ and for any $x^* \in X^*$, if the set $F(x^*, K)$ of farthest points in the weak*-closed convex hull K of E to x^* is non-empty, then it has a point of E . However the method of proof in [7] has the advantage that it shows that there is an extreme point of K in $F(x^*, K)$. Then by Milman's theorem [3, p. 151], such an extreme point is also in E .

The following easy example shows that the hypothesis of weak*-closedness can not be omitted on the set E in Theorem 1 (weak-compactness in the case of Remark 3).

Example 5. Let $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ denote the canonical vector basis in ℓ^2 . Let $X = \mathbb{K} \oplus_\infty \ell^2$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ is the base field and \oplus_∞ means the ℓ^∞ -direct sum. Consider the set

$$E = \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{n+1}, \frac{n}{n+1} e_n \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then E is a norm closed set which is not remotal from 0. Since $\overline{\text{co}}(E) = \overline{\text{co}}^{\text{weak}}(E)$ by Mazur's theorem and $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow 0$ in the weak topology, $(1, 0) \in \overline{\text{co}}(E)$ and so, $\overline{\text{co}}(E)$ is remotal from 0.

Remark 6. Let X be a Banach space and let $E \subset X$ be a weakly closed and bounded set. We do not know if remotality of $K = \overline{\text{co}}(E)$ from a point always implies that of E . Since any strongly exposed point of K clearly lies in E , the answer is affirmative if the farthest point in K is actually strongly exposed. We may also ask whether the above question has a positive answer for RNP sets (see [1, § 3] for these concepts).

We are now able to present the main result of our paper.

Theorem 7. *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then, there exists a closed and bounded convex set K that is not remotal.*

Proof. As before, we will construct a closed and bounded set E which is not remotal from 0 and show that $K = \overline{\text{co}}(E)$ is also not remotal from 0.

In view of Remark 3 (or of [7]), we may assume without loss of generality that X has the Schur property. Since X is infinite dimensional, by Rosenthal's ℓ^1 Theorem (see [3, § XI]), X contains an isomorphic copy of ℓ^1 . Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm on X . Now we will be done if we can construct in every Banach space $Y = (\ell^1, \|\cdot\|)$ isomorphic to ℓ^1 , a closed convex bounded set $K \subseteq Y$ which is not remotal from 0. Let us write τ for the weak*-topology of ℓ^1 as dual of c_0 inherited in Y . This is a locally convex topology on Y weaker than the norm topology and any τ -closed norm-bounded set is compact in this topology. Observe now that $\|\cdot\|$ is not necessarily weak*-lower semi-continuous (i.e. Y may not be a dual space) so, on the one hand, Corollary 2 does not apply and, on the other hand, B_Y may not be τ -closed.

Let $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be the canonical basis of ℓ^1 . Consider the set

$$E = \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{e_n}{\|e_n\|} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{0\} \subseteq B_Y$$

which is τ -compact since $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ τ -converges to 0 and $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the usual norm of ℓ^1 . We consider the set $K = \overline{\text{co}}^\tau(E) \subset Y$, which is τ -compact since it is τ -closed and norm-bounded (indeed, E is contained in the τ -closed set MB_{ℓ^1} for some $M > 0$, so $K \subset MB_{\ell^1}$).

Claim. $K \subseteq B_Y$. Indeed, since ℓ^1 (and so Y) has the RNP, K is a set with the RNP. Therefore, we have $K = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}(K))$ (closure in norm, see [1, § 3]). As K and E are τ -compact, Milman's theorem gives us that $\text{ext}(K) \subseteq E$ (see [3, p. 151]). Therefore, we have

$$K = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}(K)) \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(E) \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}^\tau(E) = K,$$

so $K = \overline{\text{co}}(E) \subseteq B_Y$ as claimed.

Suppose K is remotal from 0 in Y . As $D(0, E) = 1$ and $K \subseteq B_Y$, we also have $D(0, K) = 1$. Therefore, there is a vector $y_0 \in K$ with $\|y_0\| = 1$, and we may pick a functional $y_0^* \in Y^*$ with

$$\|y_0^*\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_0^*(y_0) = 1.$$

As K is a separable closed convex bounded set with the RNP, Edgar's integral representation theorem ([4], see [6, Theorem 16.12]), gives us that there exists a probability measure μ on K with $\mu(\text{ext}(K)) = 1$ (so $\mu(E) = 1$) such that

$$1 = y_0^*(y_0) = \int_K y_0^*(y) d\mu(y) = \int_E y_0^*(y) d\mu(y) \leq \int_E \|y\| d\mu(y) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $\|y\| = 1$ μ -a.e. in E , which is clearly false. Thus we get a contradiction and K is nonremotal from 0. \square

Since remotality from 0 is equivalent to having a vector of maximal norm, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 8. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then there is a closed convex set K contained in the open unit ball of X such that $\sup\{\|x\| : x \in K\} = 1$.*

Remark 9. Similar to Remark 4 (see also Remark 6), let us note that for a separable weakly closed and bounded set E such that its closed convex hull K has the RNP, our arguments show that if $F(x, K) \neq \emptyset$ then it has an extreme point of K .

Remark 10. Going into the details of the proofs of Remark 3 and Theorem 7, one realizes that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X , there is a locally convex Hausdorff topology τ , which is weaker than the norm topology and such that there is a τ -compact convex set K which is not remotal (from 0). Indeed, if X does not have the Schur property, then the set K is actually weak compact. Otherwise, X contains a subspace Y isomorphic to ℓ^1 , and the set $K \subset Y$ is compact for the topology τ' of Y which it inherits from the weak* topology of ℓ^1 as dual of c_0 . Since we may extend the topology τ' of Y to a locally convex Hausdorff topology τ of X (still weaker than the norm topology of X), we get that K is τ -compact, as desired.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was done during a visit of first author to the Bangalore centre of the Indian Statistical Institute, where he participated in the *Workshop on Geometry of Banach spaces* funded by the National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Government of India. He

also would like to thanks the people at the ISI Bangalore for the warm hospitality received there.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. BOURGIN, *Geometric Aspects of Convex Sets with the Radon-Nikodym Property*, Lecture Notes in Math. **993**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
- [2] R. DEVILLE AND V. E. ZIZLER, *Farthest points in w^* -compact sets*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **38** (1988), 433–439.
- [3] J. DIESTEL, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **92**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [4] G. A. EDGAR, *Extremal integral representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **23** (1976), 145–161.
- [5] K.-S. LAU, *Farthest Points in Weakly Compact Sets*, Israel J. Math. **22** (1975), 168–174.
- [6] R. R. PHELPS, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Second Edition, Lecture Notes in Math. **1757**, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [7] T. S. S. R. K. RAO, *Remark on a paper of Sababheh and Khalil*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization **30** (2009), 822–824.
- [8] M. SABABHEH AND R. KHALIL, *Remotality of closed bounded convex sets*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization **29** (2008), 1166–1170.

(M. Martín) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, CAMPUS UNIVERSITARIO DE FUENTENUEVA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, SPAIN, *E-mail* : *mmartins@ugr.es*

(T. S. S. R. K. Rao) STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, R. V. COLLEGE P.O., BANGALORE 560059, INDIA, *E-mail* : *tss@isibang.ac.in*